

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
. 10/654,314	09/03/2003	Sway Chuang	64,600-116	4376	
* ' *	7590 02/14/200 STRAUSS HAUER &		EXAMINER		
ONE COMMERCE SQUARE			GORDON, BRIAN R		
	KET STREET, SUITE 2200 PHIA, PA 19103 ART UNIT PAPER		PAPER NUMBER		
	.,,		1743		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	02/14/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

				- /
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	ت
		10/654,314	CHUANG ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Brian R. Gordon	1743	
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHOR WHICH - Extension after SI2 - If NO pot - Failure to Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. oriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v oreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing oratent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	·			
2a) T	esponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 So</u> this action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This ince this application is in condition for allowar	action is non-final.	osecution as to the merits is	
,	osed in accordance with the practice under E			
· <u>·</u>	n of Claims			
4a 5)□ C 6)⊠ C 7)□ C	laim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application. i) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-14</u> is/are withdrawn laim(s) is/are allowed. laim(s) <u>1-7 and 15-20</u> is/are rejected. laim(s) is/are objected to. laim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.		
Application	n Papers			
10)∐ Th Al Ro	te specification is objected to by the Examine te drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception and request that any objection to the eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to e oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Editable of the Editable of the drawing (s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing (s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(c	i).
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
a) <u>□</u> 1. 2. 3.	knowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureause the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	f References Cited (PTO-892)	∆ □ Inter 0	(PTO 412)	
2) Notice o Informat	f References Cited (P10-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948) ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	nte	

Application/Control Number: 10/654,314 Page 2

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-7 and 15-20, drawn to a microfluidic device, classified in class
 422, subclass 100.
- II. Claims 8-14, drawn to a method of fabrication for a microfluidic device, classified in class 137, subclass 15.01.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the apparatus can be made by numerous other methods such as the different know molding techniques.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

Application/Control Number: 10/654,314 Page 3

Art Unit: 1743

because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. During a telephone conversation with William Schwarze on December 22, 2006 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-7 and 15-20. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 8-14 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

·8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 4

9. Claims 3 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear what is meant by the phrase "and the top plate one each connected to an end of each of the minimum of two connection channels opposite the minimum of one collection chamber."

The claim should be amended to clarify applicant's invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 11. Claims 1-7 and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hayenga et al. US 2003/0175990.

Hayenga et al. disclose a microfluidic device construction may include a multilayer laminated structure where each layer has channels and structures fabricated from a laminate material, forming microscale voids or channels where fluids flow. A microscale channel is generally defined as a fluid passage with at least one internal cross-sectional dimension that is less than 500 micrometers and typically between Art Unit: 1743

about 0.1 micrometers and about 500 micrometers. Either external pressurized fluid forced into the laminate or structures located within the laminate affect the control and pumping of fluids through these channels.

FIG. 4 shows a microfluidic device 300 that includes a microfluidic channel network, according to another embodiment of the invention. The microfluidic structure of device 300 is shown contained within a cartridge. The device 300 includes a microfluidic junction 302 connected to a plurality of fluid ports 304, 306, 308. The ports 304, 306, 308 are designed for filling by pipette injection. Source channels 310, 312, 314 (connecting channels, 328 is a fourth connecting channel) are positioned between a microfluidic junction 302 (chamber) and a plurality of fluid ports 304, 306, and 308, respectively. Channels 304, 306, 308 respectively include valves 316, 318, 320 and fluid storage loops 322, 324, 326. Outlet channel 328 has a first end connected with microfluidic junction 302 and a second end connected with waste reservoir 330, and an analysis region 332 positioned between thereof. A sensor window 334 is positioned along a portion of channel 328. Channel 336 is connected with a waste reservoir 338 and with valved circuit units 340, 342, 344, which are structured and operate as described above (paragraph 0040).

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Zhou; Peng et al.; Koehler; Jeffrey A. et al.; Bentsen; James G. et al.; Schnipelsky; Paul Nicholas et al.; Singh; Sharat et al.; Pezzuto, Marci et al.; Johnson; Raymond et al.; Kao; H. Pin et al.; Taguchi; Takayuki et al.; Harms, Michael

Application/Control Number: 10/654,314 Page 6

Art Unit: 1743

R. et al.; Coyne, Courtney L. et al.; Bohm, Sebastian et al.; Modlin, Douglas N. et al.; Anazawa, Takanori et al.; Bentsen; James G. et al.; Southgate; Peter David et al.; Forney; Kevin J. et al.; and Covington; Joseph F. et al. disclose microfludic devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian R. Gordon whose telephone number is 571-272-1258. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, with 2nd and 4th F off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

brg

BRIAN R. GORDON PRIMARY EXAMINER